PHS

C.F. 71.

1939

Department of Revenue

OFFICE Board of Revenue

STATION Madras.

M. No. 1389

Dated 1935-39

B 33422/F.

Pages in the file 1 to 52 ref

(SUBJECT.)

Sir M. Lawrence Lord - (S. K.
Mangalam Estate - Kadai R
S. No. 262 1/C 1A -- Sivaji
Jaisalim - Partition -
proceedings --

B 2363
21.4.38
R. P. 48
& No 21
B 32

To

The Honourable the Board of Revenue,
Madras.

BOARD OF REVENUE
28 APR 1938
MADRAS

K. Janardhana, Kadri Hill, Mangalore, Post Bijeyi — Petitioner.

The above-named Petitioner respectfully submits as follows:-

1 That a portion of land S.No.262/1C1A of Kadri B village of Mangalore Taluq was purchased by petitioner's predecessors Gowri Hengsu & K.J. along with the adjoining land in 1925 and is in his possession and enjoyment. Gowri Hengsu has sold it to Petitioner who is the present owner 26.4.38.

2. That the entire land so purchased including aforesaid portion S.No.262/1C1A is enclosed by an old wall of mud and rough stones on all the sides. The wall has been in existance for over 75 years and is also evidenced by old documents of the year 1860 and others.

3. Petitioner respectfully submits that the entire land lying within the old wall is warg land of his predecessors-in-title and it cannot be seriously disputed that it has been all along in the possession and enjoyment of his predecessors-in-title for over 75 years.

4. Petitioner therefore submits that at the time of the Revenue Settlement in the village a portion of the land seems to have been left out of the patta. All the same the possession and enjoyment of the entire land has been un-interrupted and continued all along with Petitioner's predecessors-in-title. In these --- circumstances even if the portion 262/1C1A does not form part of Petitioner's land according to Survey demarcation, still petitioner has acquired a full and

Re-submitted in necessary Court-fee stamp and orders
K. Janardhan
26.4.38.

and complete title to it by open and un-interrupted possession for over 75 years and Petitioner has become its full owner.

5. The local authorities however started encroachment proceedings against Petitioner's predecessor, who had to appeal to the Collector of South Kanara for interference. The then Collector G. W. Wells Esq.,I.C.S., in his proceedings D.Dis.No.555/29 dated 16th June 1929- held, "I have inspected the plots. The encroachment, whatever the merits of the warg claim are, is so old that it would be unfair to insist on their removal."

pp 11-15 of p 74 of (8) since removed

6. Then again the plot was inspected by another Collector Khan Bahadur Mahammad Humayun Saheb Bahadur, and found the encroachment unobjectionable and in view of the substantial building on it belonging to Petitioner he ordered that the plot may be leased to Petitioner for 20 years if Petitioner was so willing. But petitioner found that it would be a great hardship to take it on lease and offered to take an assignment of it to avoid all trouble. The Collector however granted to Petitioner a small portion measuring about 3 cents only being the site on which the building actually stood.

pp 7-8 of (4)

7. Petitioner thereafter repeatedly pressed his claim before the Collector without any success. The last order of the Collector was by his proceedings L.Dis. 1410/38 dated 10th March 1938 whereby he finally declined to interfere.

8. Petitioner submits that the plot in question is a small bit measuring 4 or 5 cents and contains several fruit trees consisting of 6 graft Mango trees of rare varieties, Jack, Sapota trees etc., and forms the direct front yard to his house. Without that plot peaceful and quiet enjoyment of his house in which Petitioner --

actually resides, will be very difficult. On the other hand it is of no practical use to Government for road or other purposes, as there is a water drain intervening between it and the public road. Besides, if there arises any necessity for widening the road there is plenty of vacant waste land on the other -- side of the Road and widening can be made to any extent without any difficulty whatsoever.

9. Petitioner respectfully submits that (1) he is the owner of the plot 262/1C1A on warg right, (2) he has acquired a full title to it by open uninterrupted possession for over the statutory period and (3) to purchase peace he is even prepared to take it on assignment from Government on the usual conditions.

10. Petitioner therefore prays that the -- Honourable Board may be pleased to call for the connected records and to concede the right of Petitioner to the plot or in the alternative to grant an assignment of the plot to him.

18- 3- 1938.

V. Janardhana.

Petitioner.

(Enclosure - order of the Collector of South Kanara dated 10th March '38)

N Dis No. B. 2363/38 dated

Returned.

2. The petition should bear court-fee stamps of the value of Rs 1/8 (Rupee one and annas eight only). The petitioner has not produced either the original or a certified and duly-stamped copy of the Collector's order No D. Dis 555/29 D/ 6-6-29 referred to in the petition and that of the order originally passed by the Collector and referred in the order, Z Dis No. 1410/38 D/ 10-3-38. The petition can therefore receive no consideration.

S Raghavan 28388
Assistant Secretary
Board of Revenue
Madras.
6/3 26/3

Encl: one Collr's order.

To

M.R.Ry K. Janardhana
Kadri Hill
Bijeyi post
Mangalore Tk
South Kanara Dt.

R.P 19
B. 2363

L.Dis.1410/38.

Proceedings of the Collector of South Kanara dated the 12th March 1938.

M.R.Ry. Rao Bahadur M.V.Vellodi, Avl.,B.A.

Encroachment - Land - Mangalore Taluk - Kadri B village - R.P.262/1C1A - Eviction appeal order - Review petition - Dismissed -

Petition dated 9.3.38 of K. Janardhana of Kadri Hill filed through M.R.Ry. K.P.Naraina Das Avl.,B.A.,B.L., Vakil,Mangalore.

Order.

I decline to reopen this matter and have said so already.

(By Order)

12.3.38

Huzur Sheristedar.

To

The petitioner through his vakil, M.R.Ry. K.P.Naraina Das Avl.,B.A.,B.L.,Mangalore.

Copy to the Tahsildar of Mangalore.

12-3-38.

D.Dis.No.6401/37.

Proceedings of the Collector of South Kanara, dated the 23rd January 1938.

M.R.Ry. Rao Bahadur M. V. Vellodi, Avl., B.A.

Encroachment - Land - Mangalore Taluk - Kadri B village - S.No.262/1C1A - Eviction - Appeal - Dismissed.

Appeal petition, dated 16.11.1937 of K. Janardhana, Kadri Hill, Mangalore.

Mangalore Revenue Divisional officer's N.Dis.6175/37-B1, dated 7.1.1938 and the connected records.

The land was inspected by the Collector, Mr. Humayun who found the assignment objectionable. I decline to re-open the question. The petition is dismissed.

(By Order)

25.1.

English Head Clerk.

25.1.38.

To the appellant.

Copy to the Tahsildar of Mangalore through the Revenue Divisional officer, Mangalore, with records of D.R.657/41. D.R.257/46 and E.C.3/47.

D. Dis No. 555 - 1929

Proceedings of the Collector of South Kanara, Mangalore. —

Dated 16th June 1929. —

G. V. Wells Esquire, M.A., I.C.S.

Encroachment - Land - Mangalore Taluk - Kadri Village - S. Nos 262/3B, 3C, & 4B - eviction - Appeals - orders passed. —

1 Petition dated 3.12.'28 of Messrs. A. Balakrishna Shetty and another —

2 Petition dated 8.4.'29 of Gowri Hengsu —

3 Mangalore Tahsildar's N. Dis. C. No. 380/Enc/29 dated 6.2.'29

4 Mangalore Revenue Divisional officer's N. Dis. 411-B-29 dated 16.2.1929. —

5 This office D. Dis. 11126-28 dated 8.1.'29. —

6 The connected records. —

order

Portions of S. No. 262 of Kadri Village have been encroached upon by the appellants. S. No. 262/3B by Gowri (female) 262/3C & 4B by Messrs A. Balakrishna Shetty and A. Devappa Bunja. — Encroachment proceedings were instituted against these persons under the L.E. Act on the ground that the plots encroached upon form part of the Military camping ground and hence their occupation is objectionable. The

Pr —

THREE ANNAS GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS COPY STAMP

present appeals are against such proceedings. —

The appellants ~~were~~ urge in wang claim and contend that th plots they have encroached upon have been in their enjoyment since before settlement. I have inspected the plots. The encroachments, whatever the merits of the wang claim, are so old that I think it would be unfair to insist on their removal. S. No. 2162, though it is included in the list of camping grounds furnished by the Tahsildar is, in reality, not a camping ground in the possession of the Military authorities, but has been classed as assessed waste at settlement. I see no objection to the assignment of the plots to the encroachers concerned.

The Tahsildar ~~directed to~~ of Bangalore is accordingly directed to assign the plots to the encroacher concerned including that portion of Gowri Hengsu's encroachment in S. No. 2162/3 B lying beyond Koramboke road, in modification of this office order B. Dis. 11126-28 dated 8.1.1929. As regards assessment, the adangal rate should be adopted. — Regarding site Value, the Tahsildar will report, before assigning, whether any site Value should be levied

F.4

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for fair copying ..	18/5	PH.
When copied ..	18/5/38	CRP.
When examined..	18/5	MSR/HKD
When signed ..		
When despatched.	DESPATCHED 18 MAY 1938	

SUBJECT :- Encroachment - [illegible] (S. Kanara) - Mangalore Taluk - Kadri B village - Dr. No. 262/1C 1A - Eviction - Janardhana, K. - Petition

READ AGAIN 18MAY1938, dated ____ 19____.

" ____ " ____ 19____.

READ ALSO :-

C/F No. 3343/38 From the K. Janardhana. ~~No.~~ ____, dated 26-4- 19 38. Q

" ____ " ____ 19____.

" ____ " ____ 19____.

~~Order~~ ~~Ms.~~ Ref No. B 3343/38-1 dated ____ 17-5- 19 38.
~~Resolution Rout.~~

Forwarded to the Colr. of South Kanara for submission of the records with any remarks which he considers necessary regarding the allegations in the petition which are clearly dealt with in the records.

2. The pet. is directed to produce before 15-6-38 certified copies of the concerned B. memos with the Tahs's remarks thereon and the RDO's order of eviction viz the notice under Sec 6 of the L.E Act stamped at the rate of eight annas for every

360 words or fraction thereof.

If original orders are submitted they need not be stamped. The defect should be rectified within the time allowed; otherwise the petition will be summarily rejected.

14.5.38

6538

To

The Collr of South Kanara

with a petn & enclosure

to be retd with reply

— para 1 only.

~~The petn~~ — para 2 only

(MRBy K Janardhana

Kadri Hill

~~Mangalore~~

Bijeyi post

Mangalore Taluk

S. Kanara Dt)

To

The Honourable Board of Revenue, Madras.

Janardhana, Kadri Hill, Mangalore, Bijey Post. (South Kanara.) Petitioner.

The above named petitioner begs to submit as follows:-

1. That he had forwarded to the Board a appeal -- Petition dated 26th April, 1938; regarding a case --- against him of an alleged encroachment & eviction etc., in Kadri villege.

2. That he received from the Board bearing Ref: Np. No.3343/38 dated 17th May 1938 calling upon him to produce by the 15th of June 1938, Certified copies of "B" memos and notice under section 6 of Land Encroachment Act, and his appeal Petition will be summarily rejected in default.

pp 17-18 CF

3. That on the very next day of receiving the above ndorsement petitioner applied to the District Collecto for return of the original section 6 notice ch he had filed them along with an appeal Petition, and also appli to the Tahsildar of Mangalore for certified copies of the notice and "B" memos.

4. That the District Collector has by his order L. Dis. C.P.112-38, dated 30th May 1938; declined to return the original section 6 notice filed before him.

5. That in the Tahsildar's office he was asked to come 3 days later for the copies, and when he want after 3 days he was told that the copy application had been forwarded to the Mangalore Deputy Collector's office as the records had gone there. It was only on the 7th of June 1938 that the application reached the Deputy collector's office, there he was asked to come on the 10th June, to received the copies. When he went there on the

on the 10th June, he is told that the records have been called for by the Collector, and they were not in a -- position to grant the copies.

6. That in the copy application itself Petitione has quoted the order of the Board calling for the copies and the date by which they had to be produced before the Board and that the copies were required for due compliance with the Board's order. In spite of all attempts Petitioner regrets to say that he has not been able to get the Certified copies yet. Nor is he in a position to say when the local authorities will be pleased to grant the copies.

7. Petitioner therefore prays that the time for production of the copies may be extended by a month provisionally or that the records may be called for from the Collector's Office.

Mangalore
12-6-1938

V. Hanumantha Rao
Petitioner.

R.P 57
Cf No 21-
B 4463

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE BOARD OF REVENUE, MADRAS.

Ref: No.3343/38.

Petn Address

K.Janardhana, Kadri Hill,
Mangalore, Bijeyi Post. Petitioner.

MEMO.

The above named petitioner begs to state as follows:-

1. That after he submitted to the Board by -- Post a Petition dated 12th June 1938, stating that he did not get the required copies, he has been -- granted the copies of "B" Memo and Section 6 notice. But the Certified copy of Tahsildar's remarks on -- the "B" Memo called for by the Board has not been - granted tnat a copy of the remarks cannot be granted.

Pp 19-20 Cf

2. That he is forwarding herewith the above-said copies duly stamped.

Mangalore.
14-6-1938.

K. Janardhan
Petitioner.



ನಿ. ಯಮೂದಾನ್ನು —

ರ.ಕ. ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಮಂಗ್ಳೂರ್ ತಾಲೂಕು ವಂಬ್ಳ 87 - ನಿ ಕದ್ರಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ 1937 ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯ
ಜೂನೆ ತಿಂಗಳ್ಲಿ ವಕೀಲ ಜಲಿ ವಪ್ಪ ರುಸುಂ ಶೆವಾಯಿ ಆಗಮೆ ಮಾಡಿ (ಅವು ಚಿಕ್ಕೆ ಕಿಪ)
ಥನ್ನ ಕ್ಕೆ ಂಡಿಸುವ ಪ್ಪೆಲಿಕು ಮೆಂಟು. — ನಿ.ಧಿ. 1
1 ಜಂ ಪ್ರ ನಂಬರು (ಅಗ್ರ) 257/46. —
2 ವ್ಯತ್ಯಾದ ವಿವರಕ್ಕೆ: ಬಿಕ್ಕಿಜು ಮೊಕ ವಕೀಲ ಅವ ದ್ಯಿನ (ಬಿಕ್ಕಿಜು ಮೊಕರೂರಾಡ
ರೂರಿರುವಂದಾದ್ದಿನ್ನೆ, ಬಿಕ್ಕಿಜು ಮೊಕ
ರೂರಾಕಡಿತ್ತದ್ದಿನ್ನೆ — ಯೂ - ಮೊರಂಬ್ಕೆ
ಕೊನ್ನೆ; ಮೊರಂಬೆಕ್ಕೆಂಕಾಡಿದ್ದಕೆ ಅದರ
ವ್ಯಬಾವ. —
3 ಸಪೆನ್ನೆ ನಂಬರು, ಯಾವದೂ ಇಲ್ಲ ... ಮಂಪ್ಲ/1ಇ1.
4 ಇತ್ಕೆ ಹೊಲದ ವಿಸ್ತೀರ್ಣಗಾಗಿ ಂ-ಂ8 ಎಕ್ರೆ
ಆಗಮೆ ಮಾಡಿದ ತಕರಾರುಲು —
5 ಆಗಮೆ ಮಾಡಿದ ವಿಸ್ತೀರ್ಣಗಾಗಿ ಂ-ಂ8 ಎಕ್ರೆ (2642/1ಇ1) (Portion of original 2642/1ಇ1)
ರೂ. ಎ. ಪೈ
6 ನೀರಕ್ಕು ಬೆಳ್ಳಕ್ಕೆ ಜವ ದರ ಂ-8-ಂ
7 ನ್ನೆ ಅಕಾನೆಂಕುಲ್ಲಿ ಕ್ಕೆ ವಿಸ್ತೀರ್ಣಗಾದ ಮೆಲಾ ಬೆಳೆಕ್ಕೆ ಜು ... ಟ್ಯಾಕ್ಸ್ ಆಫೀಸ್ ಂ-1-ಂ
ಯಾರು ಅನು ಚಿಕ್ಕೆ ಕಿಪ ದ್ದ. —
8 ಪಟ್ಟೆಂಯ ನಂಬರು ಆವ್ರಮಗ್ರಾಂ ದಾರವ ಹೆರಲ್ಲಿ ಪಟ್ಟೆ ಇಲ್ಲ.
9 ಅನಾಮಿಂಯ ಹಿವರು ಕೆ. ಜವಾಬ್ದಿನ.



ವಿಚಾರಣೆ

10 ಧ್ಯಾಗೆ ಬುವಂಯಿಲ್ಲ ಕಿಂಡಿಯ್ಯ – ಸೇರು ಪಲ್ಲಿ ಬಚ್ಚಾ ಕಿಂಯಿಲ್ಲಿ, ಮನೆ ಮಟ್ಟಿತ್ತ ದವುಗಳ್ಳನ್ನ ಕಡ್ಡುವ ಬಚ್ಚಾ ಕಿಂಯಿಲ್ಲಿ ಇಕ್ಕೆಂಬಿ. — ಅವರೂ ಮಾಡಿ, ಬೇಳಿ ಕುರವಕ್ಕೆಯಿ ಉಳ್ಳ ಅಕ್ಕ ಮಗಾ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದು.

11 ಮುಂಬಿಂಯಿಲ್ಲಿ ಹೊರಿವಳ್ಳಿ ಮನ್ನೆಂ ಬೆಳ್ಳಿಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವಾದಾದರೂ ಇದ್ದರೆ ಅದು. — ರೂ. ಅಕ್ಷ ಇಲ್ಲ

12 ಕ್ರಮ ಉಚ್ಚಿಗಿನವರ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ಅಕ್ರಮಗಾರಕುಲಿತು ಯುಕ್ತ ಕೊಳ್ಳುವಂತೆ ಕ್ರಮ ಜರುಗುಸು.

13 ದೊಡ್ಡ ಇವ್ವೆಸ್ಟಿಗೇಶನ ಅವಶ್ಯಕತೆಯು.

14 1905 ನೇ ಇವಯಿಂಯ ಕಂ. 3 ನೇ ಆಕ್ಟಿನ 7 ನೇ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಗಾರ ತಮ್ಮೆರಿಗೆ ಅಮಟಿಸಿಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರವಿಲ್ಲ ಮೇಲ್ಕಾ ಕೊಡಲಬ್ಬ ಕೆಲಸವಲ್ಲ.

15 ಅಮಟಿಸಿಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರವ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಬೇರೆಂಶ. — ಅಕ್ರಮಗಾರರವ ಹೆಸರಿಗೆ ಲಕ್ಷದೆ ಅವನು ಅಕ್ರಮಗಾ ವ್ಯವಹಾರವನ್ನ ತನ್ನ ಹೆಸರಲ್ಲಿ ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡಿರುವಿಕೆಗೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದೆ: Statement of the encroacher has been filed in D.R. 2187 H6.

16 ಕೆಲವಲೆಯಾದರ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ

17 ದಿವಿಜವಲ್ಲಿ ಲೆಟ್ಟುನರರ ಹುದ್ದೆಯ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಗಳು. —

ಟೀ — 12.13 ಮತ್ತು 16 ನೇ ರಿಕಾಲೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪಂಡಶಕ್ತಿಯ ಅಳುವರರು ತಮ್ಮ ಪಂಡಶಕ್ತಿಯ

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
COPY STAMP
THREE ANNAS

ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನ ತಹಶೀಲ್ದಾರ್ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮತ್ತು ಹಾಗೂ ಆಸ್ತಿಯು ಗ್ರಾಮ ಆಕ್ರಮವಾದದ್ದೆಂದು ಅಂದರೆ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನಕ್ಕೆ ತಂದ ಸಂಬಂಧಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಬಾಧಕವಾದದ್ದೆಂದು ಮತ್ತು ಅಂಬುದನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಬಾಧಕವಾದರೆ ಎಂಕೆಮಾಲ್ಕ ಅಧಿನ ವಿಲ್ಲಾಸುತ ಲಗ್ನಿ ಯೂವ ವಿವಾಹ ಕಳ್ಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿದೆ ಮತ್ತು ಅಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಎರಡಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ವರ್ಷಗಳವರೆಗೆ ಇದ್ದ ಮತ್ತು ಅಂತಿಮವಾಗಿ ಅಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿದ್ದಂಥ ಆಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಹೊರಡಿಸುವುದು ತಕ್ಕದ್ದಲ್ಲವೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ಮನಗಾಣಿಸಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Sd) Illegible

Shanbhogue — 9.6.1937

ಕ.ಅ. ವರ್ಣಮಾಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆಯಲಾಗಿದೆ.

(Rupees Three only)

(True copy on three sheets only)

Treasury Deputy Collector

5. Total words = 233

Application No. 124/38 for copy received from K. Janardhana	on 11.6.38
Stamp papers called for	" 11.6.38
Stamp papers received	" 11.6.38
Copy ready	" 13.6.38
Copy delivered or call'd for & paid	" 13.6.38

Copied by A.R. Compared by

13/6/38

THREE ANNAS
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
COPY STAMP

ದೆ

ಇದಲ್ಲದೆ ನಿಮಗೆ ತಿಳಿಯಪಡಿಸುವುದೇನಂದರೆ, — ನಿಮ್ಮನ್ನು ಹೊರಗೆ ಕಳಿಸಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಪರವಾನಿಗೆ ಜಮೀನಿನ ಮೇಲಿರುವುದಾಗಿ ಮುಟ್ಟುಗೋಲು ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಡಲು ಸುಲಭವಾಗಿರುವ ಎಂಬುವುದಾಗಿಯೂ ನಾವು ನನ್ನ ಅದರ ವಿಲೇವಾರಿಯಿಂದ ಕುರಿತು ಕಚೇರ್ಕರವರ ಕುಕುಮ ತಲಮುವ ವರೆಗೆಂತ ತನ್ನ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನದಲ್ಲಿ ತೆಗದುಕೊಂಡು ತಕ್ಕ ಹಾಗೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಇಕ್ಕಿ ಕೆಲಿಸ್ಯ ಏನೆಂದೆಚ್ಚರವರ ನ್ಯ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ಅಧಿಕಾರಗೊಳಿಸಿಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ

Sd) J. S. Swarna — (Sd) M. S. Jagannayakulu

Tahsildar 29.10.1937. —

Revenue Divisional officer. —

ಇದರ - ಈ ನೋಟೀಸಿನ ಬಂದು ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಹಾಗೆ ಹೊರಗೆ ಕಳಿಸಲಾಗಿರುವ ಭೂಮಿಯು ಅನುಭೋಗದಾರನಿಗಾಗಲಿ ಅವನ ವಾರಿಕೆಯು ಮೇವ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿದಲ್ಲಿರುವವನಾಗಲಿ ಅವನ ಕುಟುಂಬದ ಯಾವ ಸಾದಸ್ಯರುನ್ನು ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಿಗಾಗಲಿ, ಅಥವಾ ಅವನಿಂದ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಪಡೆದ ಪುರೋಹಿತನಿಗಾಗಲಿ ಕೊಡ್ಬು, ಅಥವಾ ಅವನು ಕೊನೆಮುಸರಿ ಪಡಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದನೆಂದು ತಿಳಿದಿದ್ದ ಮನೆಯು ಯಾವುದೊಂದರು ಬಹಿರಂಗವಾದ ಜಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂದರೆ ಅನುಭೋಗವಾಗಿರುವ ಜಮೀನಿನ ಯಾವುದೊಂದರು ಬಹಿರಂಗವಾದ ಜಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂಟಿಸಿ, ಚಾರಿಸಲಿಯನ್ನತಕ್ಕದ್ದು. —

Total words - 178

(Seal)

(True Copy on two sheets only)

Application No. 124/38 for copy received from K. Janardhana on 11.6.38

Stamp required called for " 11.6.38

Stamp papers produced " 11.6.38

Copy ready " 13.6.38

Certified copy delivered to " 13.6.38

Copied by AR Compared by

13/6/38

Treasury Officer Collector.

33

R P47 B4625

D.Dis. 3185-38. South Kanara Collector's Office,
Mangalore, dated 19th June 1938.

From

Sri Rao Bahadur M.V.Vellodi, B.A.,
Collector of South Kanara.

To

The Secretary to the Board,
Land Revenue & Settlement,
M a d r a s.

BOARD OF R...
23 JUN 1938
MADRAS

Sir,

Encroachment - Land ... (South Kanara) -Mangalore Taluk - Kadri B village - S.No. 262/1C1A -eviction - Janardhana K. - petition - reported.

Board's Reference B.No. 3343-38-1 dated 17-5-1938.

PP17-18Cf.

I resubmit herewith the petition of K. Janardhana with its enclosures received with the Board's Reference B.No. 3343/38-1 dated 17-5-38 and state as follows:

PP17-18Cf.

2. The plot involved viz. S.No. 262/1C1A measuring ...ents of Kadri B village is a portion of S.No. 262/1C1 ...istered in the Accounts as assessed waste dry assessed at 8 annas per acre. The history of this case has to be traced from 1928. S.No. 262/1C the parent sub-division at the time was found to contain encroachments and consequently sub-divisions S.Nos. 262/1C1 and 1C2 were formed in the course of these encroachment proceedings. As S.No. 262/1C formed portions of land reserved as military camping ground- eviction order was passed by the Revenue Divisional Officer in respect of the encroachments existing in S.No. 262/1C - the encroachment in S.No.262/1C not having been proceeded with at the time. In appeal the Collector, Mr. Wells, inspected them and without, however going into the merits of the warg claim advanced by all the encroachers, he directed the assignment of S.No.262/1C1 and some other adjoining encroachments similarly situated, to the encroachers as he found them to be very old and as the land S.No. 262 was in reality not a camping ground in the possession of the military authorities. please see proceedings D.Dis.555

PP73-76 ...

not printed

dated 16-6-1929 referred to by the petitioner in para 5 of his present petition. Plot marked S.No. 262/1C2 (old S.No. 262/3B) vide eyesketch at page 39 of D.Dis. 555/29) measuring 3 cents was accordingly assigned to the petitioner's mother Gowri in Tahsildar's order D.R. 657/41 dated 4-4-33.

3. The remaining sub-division S.No. 262/1C1 was ------- subsequently dealt with in another encroachment proceedings. But in this case the Tahsildar proposed the assignment of the plot in view of the fact that it contained a substantial tiled building and a backyard enclosed by an old wall. In connection with the Tahsildar's proposal to levy site value the Collector Mr. Humayun inspected the land and remarked that it was highly objectionable to assign it outright as he found it to be actually a part of the military camping ground. The assignment had also been objected to by the Dt. Board as it was within 22 yards from the centre of the road passing through poramboke S.No. 262/1A. In view, however, of the existence of a substantial building in it Mr. Humayun ordered that it should be leased out for a period of 20 years - please see his proceedings Ref.No.A6-4147-36 dated 25-8-36. The petitioner refused to take up the plot on lease contending that it was his warg land. Mr. Humayun thinking it undesirable to pull down a substantial building sanctioned the assignment of the portion occupied by the building and decided to evict the encroachers from only the remaining portion - please see his proceedings D.Dis. 5062-36 dated 29-9-36. The portion occupied by the building was sub-divided as S.No. 262/1C1B (revised S.No. 262/4) measuring 3 cents and assigned to the petitioner in Tahsildar's order D.R. 257/46 dated 26-6-37 after collecting site value at Rs. 750/- per acre.

4. The Tahsildar then started fresh encroachment proceedings in respect of the portion denoted as S.No. 262/1C1A in the sketch in E.C. 3/47 and issued notice under section 7 of the Land Encroachment Act to the petitioner. The petitioner then agreed to take it up on lease for 20 years but I refused to grant the petitioner's request as he had once declined to take it up on lease and directed

A8(iR) 37 F.W.S

C.F. 16.

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for fair copying	19/8	
When copied	19/8	
When examined	22/8/38	
When signed	24/8	
When despatched.	DESPATCHED 25 AUG 1938	

SUBJECT:— Encroachment - Land - (S. Kanara) - Mangalore Th. — Retd. vgk. - S. No 91/2 I.C.I.A. Eviction - Janardhana - (K) Petn - Further report - Ltr -

Retd. after typg. & dispatch 24/8/38

READ AGAIN _____, dated _____ 19____.

" " _____ " — 19____.

READ *ALSO*:—

P17CF pp33-36 CF. No.____ From the ~~Board~~ Revenue B No. 3343/38.1, dated 17.5.1938

B " 4626 " Collr. of S. Kanara " R.Dis 3185/38 dated 19.6.1938.

" _____ " _____ " _____ " _____ 19____.

Revenue B ~~Order Ms.~~ ~~Resolution Rout.~~ No. 3343/38.2, dated 18.8.1938

The main objection of the then Collr., (Mr. Huma yun, who inspected the land) ~~proposition~~ to assign it to the petr. seems to be due to the fact that he considered it to be a part of the military Camping ground. In the Connection the Collrs attention is invited to his letter R.Dis. 5799-37 dt 2.11.37 wherein he has reported that ~~there~~ are no military lands in his district

hm 19/8

P19(C) Removed

The coll. is requested to report how the land in question can be considered to be a part of military encamping ground and whether there is any other objection to the assignment of the land to the petr subject to the payment of market value.

18838

7/7/38

17/8

To the coll. of S. Kanara
with records returned
as per list.

P35CF.

No. 117

For Insurance Notices see reverse. Stamps affixed except in case of uninsured letters not exceeding 2½ tolas or one ounce in weight or on which no acknowledgment is due.

Rs. As.

Date-stamp.

Received a registered * addressed to

* Write here "letter," "postcard," "packet" or "parcel" with the word "Insured" before it when necessary.

Initials of Receiving Officer.

Insured for Rs. (in figures) (in words)

Weight Rate

Insurance fee Rs. As. (in words) Tolas

If insured

Name and address

List of Records.

B.
No. 3343/38-2,
date 18-8-38.

1. Huzur file D.Dis. 555/29 dated 16-6-29. ✓
2. Mangalore Taluk D.R. 657-41 dated 4-4-37. ✓
3. Huzur file D.Dis. 4147/36 dated 25-8-1936. ✓
   do. D.Dis. 5062/36 dated 29-9-1936. ✓
5. Mangalore Taluk D.R. 257/46 dated 26-6-37. ✓
6. Huzur file D.Dis. 5382-37 dated 16-10-1937. ✓
7. do. D.Dis. 6401/37 dated 23-1-1938. ✓
8. Mangalore Taluk E.C.3/47 dated 19-3-1938. ✓

The records noted above have been rece.....

(B.O)

Mangalore
30 Sep. 38

W Summerville
Huzur Shekhedar

C.F. 32.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

reply, please quote number, date and short title.*

BOARD OF REVENUE 18 DEC 1938 MADRAS

*Received*_____ *Registered*_____

From (Name) Sri Rao Bahadur M. V. Vellodi, B.A.

(Designation) Collector of South Kanara,

Post Box_____ (Station) Mangalore.

*Telegraphic Address*_____

To

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue.
Land Revenue, Madras.

D.Dis. *No.* 5616/38 *Dated* 9th September 1938.

Sir,

Encroachment - Land (South Kanara) - Mangalore Taluk - Kadri Village - S.No. 262/1C1A - Eviction - Janardhana (K) - Petition - further report.

Enclosures_____

pp 37-38 Cf

Board's Ref. B. No. 3343/38-2 dated 18--8--1938.

_____ are Copies_____

* File in E section
Verified.

*Pl. See Reply at p. 37 Cf

What I reported in My letter R.Dis. 5799/37 dated 2-11-1937 was that there are no military lands in this district as referred to in B.P. Press No. 106 dated 1st October 1937 which obviously dealt with only lands which are the property of the Defence Department and not those which are in the possession of the Civil Department. The fact that there are no such military lands which are the property of the Defence Department will be clear from B.P. Mis. No. 718, L.R. & Settl, dated 28-2-1931.

2. There are, however, military camping grounds registered as such in Kadri Village and some other villages in the District which are in the possession of the Civil Department. Though the plot S.No. 262/1C1 of which the encroachment in question forms part, is not registered as military camping ground, the Collector Mr. Humayun during his inspection of the encroachment found it to be actually a part of the military camping ground as indicated by the position of a Survey stone of the military survey, which he found at the northern corner of S.No. 262/1C1 - (Please see his notes at page 4, N.F., in D.Dis.4147/36).

The adjoining lands are registered as military camping grounds. That is how the land in question came to be treated as a part of military camping ground.

3. In paragraph 3 of the Government order communicated with N.P.Mis.No. 718, L.R. & Sett., dated 28-2-1931 quoted above, there are instructions to be observed in dealing with lands registered as camping grounds, which are not included in the list of lands of the Defence Department. Under these instructions, the orders of Government are necessary for the assignment of such lands. There is also the other objection to the assignment which is from the District Board on account of the encroachment being within 22 yards from the centre of the road passing through S.No. 262/1A as already reported in paragraph 3 of my first report D.Dis. 3185/38 dated 19-6-1938

4. These are the reasons which have prevailed in not considering the application for assignment favourably. Since the receipt of the Board's Proceedings cited above, I have inspected the land and personally cannot find any possible objection to its assignment. The bit asked for is intended to extend the compound of the appellant by a few links. Right in front, that is, towards the west, is a very deep drain about 4 feet deep caused by the erosion of flood water. East of the land applied for is the paddy land of the appellant, and the portion asked for, lying between his paddy land and the deep drain referred to above cannot possibly be of any use whatever to either the District Board or as an adjunct to the military camping ground. The proximity to the military camping ground apparently influenced Mr. Humayun who refused the assignment. I should go further and say that the assignment of the land would really be good from an aesthetic point of view also because what is now an

P.T.O.

unsightly corner would be nicely covered up with a laterite compound wall for which the appellant had made preparations. In the circumstances I have no objection to the assignment of the land asked for.

5. All the connected files received with the Board's Reference are submitted.

1. Huzur file D.Dis. 555/29 dated 16-2-1929.
2. " D.Dis. 4147/36 dated 25-8-1936.
3. " D.Dis. 5062/36 dated 29-9-1936.
4. " D.Dis. 5382/37 dated 16-10-1937.
5. " D.Dis. 6401/37 dated 23-1-1938.
6. Mangalore Tk. file D.R. 657/41 dated 4-4-1937.
7. Do. D.R. 257/46 dated 26-6-1937.
8. Do. E.C. 3/47 dated 19-3-1938.

M. V. Wadsl

Collector.

46(5

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

REMINDER. Board of Revenue Branch **REPLY.**

SOUTH KANARA COLLECTOR'S OFFICE. RECEIVED 18 JAN 1939 No. BOARD OF REVENUE 25 JAN 1939 MADRAS

To THE Collector of South Kanara

To THE Board of Land Revenue, Madras

I ~~have the honour to invite~~ am directed to invite ~~invite~~ attention to Board's Ref. B. No. 33243/38-3, dated 6.12.38 and

~~request that this reminder may be returned at once~~ to request that the reply to it may be expedited with information as to—

(1) the stage at which the matter now is,

(2) the date by which a reply may be expected, ~~and~~

~~(3) the circumstances which have caused the delay.~~

The paper is pending with the Tahsildar. The reply will be submitted by the end this month.

Board of Revenue Office Madras

Station Madras

Dated 16.1.39

Signature [illegible] 19/1/39

Designation. Senior Superintendent

for Collector

SUBJECT. Board of Revenue 19/1/39

Encroachment - Land - S. Kanara) - Mangalore Tk - Kadri B vgt - S. No. 262/1C-1A - [illegible] - Janardhana - Petition - [illegible] called for

MM 21/1/39

22.1.39

47

R.P178 cuets
B1164

L.F. 32.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

In reply, please quote number, date and short title.*

*Received*_____ *Registered*_____

From (Name) Sri Diwan Bahadur M. V. Vellodi, B.A.,

(Designation) Collector of South Kanara,

Post Box_____, (Station) Mangalore.

*Telegraphic Address*_____

BOARD OF REVENUE 6 MAR 1939 MADRAS

To

The Secretary to the Board,
Land Revenue, MADRAS.

D. Dis. *No.* 8494/38, *dated* 28th February 1939.

Sir

ENCLOSURES_____

SPARE COPIES_____

. ENCROACHMENT - Land - Mangalore Taluk - Kadri B village - S.No.262/1C1A - Eviction - Janardhana - Petition - Revised sketch submitted - Board's Refce.B.No.3343/38-3 dated 6.12.1938.

45-46 cr

****

I enclose a sketch showing the drain and the land surrounding the encroachment S.No.262/1C1A of Kadri B village.

2. At the Settlement there was a Hill block S.No.38 measuring acres 41 consisting of 6 subdivisions of which S.Nos.38/1 3, 4 and 5 measuring acres 36.81 were ------ registered as Government Assessed Waste Dry, S.No.38/2 measuring acres 3.74 as poramboke road and S.No.38/6 measuring Ac.0-45 as warg land. The extracts from the Settlement register relating to them are enclosed. No portion of this land or any other land in the village was registered as military camping ground at the Settlement. After the Settlement it was found that S.No.38/1 contained a portion of the Jail camping ground which comprised also of portions of S.Nos.28, 54/4, 55/1, 55/3 and 38/3. As S.No.54/4 measuring acres 27.33 was included by mistake at the Settlement in the holding of one Naraina Bhatta, it was found necessary to exclude it from his holding. The Headquarters Deputy Collector, Mangalore, while directing the exclusion of this plot, S.No.54/4 from the

holding of Haraina Bhatta in his order Dis.No.32 R/Rev.05 dated 12.2.'05 also directed that the portions of the camping ground marked A in the sketch in Taluk file Dis.779R/05 which were included in it as well as in the other subdivisions quoted above should be separately subdivided and shown as jail camping ground in the accounts with the result that the subdivisions S.Nos.28/1A and 1B, 38/1A and 1B, 3A and 3B, 54/4A and 4B, 55/1A and 1B and 3A and 3B were formed and they were sanctioned by the Headquarters Deputy Collector. But, the registry of the land as 'Jail Camping ground' was not effected either in the village or taluk records. The land marked A and subdivided as Jail Camping ground was clearly demarcated on the ground with stones serially numbered as 1 to 12 and inscribed with the letters M.S.C. and they still exist. In after years, the ---- inscription of the letters M.S.C. on the stones must have misled the Revenue officials into taking them as planted by the military department and the idea that the land was Jail camping ground was in course of time lost sight of and all this land with the large area of the vacant land at the locality came to be regarded as military camping ground. Hence it is that when a reference was received from the D.A.Q.G.,Madras Dt.in 1921 for furnishing information regarding the military camping grounds in the districts, all the plots comprising the jail camping ground as well as the surrounding vacant land standing registered as Government Assessed Waste Dry measuring in all acres 62.11 were included in the list of military camping grounds furnished to the Military Department in 1922. Though the plots were included in this list of camping grounds, the major portion of the block had already been assigned in 1918 and when a second reference No.5538/62/Q dated 18.11.26 was received from the D.A.Q.M.G. only the plots then remaining vacant measuring in all acres 26.14 were included in the list of military camping grounds furnished to him. The plots having been thus included in the list furnished to the Military Department and treated as such the land was later registered in 1936 as military camping ground poramboke in pursuance of a circular

of Mr. Humayun D.Dis.4147/36 dated 25.8.36 directing the registry of all military camping grounds as military encamping ground poramboke. Hence, it will be seen that there is no good authority for the present registry of the land as military camping ground poramboke.

3. Now as regards the encroachment plot, S.No.262/1CL. it is a portion of S.No.262/1 which is actually a portion of old S.No.38/2 registered as road poramboke. It was not included in the list of military camping grounds. Owing to defective survey of S.No.38, there was a resurvey of the field and in the course of the measurement it was found that there was encroachment in the road poramboke subdivision 38/2(revised No.262/1) and it was separately subdivided and denoted as 262/1C. But, instead of registering it as poramboke road, it was wrongly registered by the then shanbh as Assessed Waste Dry and it is apparently this wrong classification which led to the treatment of the plot later as military camping ground though neither it nor the other adjoining lands were actually so.

4. The records noted in the margin*are enclosed.

1. Huzur file D.Dis.555/29 dated 16.2.1929.
2. " D.Dis.4147/36 dated 25.8.36.
3. " D.Dis.5062/36 dated 29.9.36.
4. " D.Dis.5382/37 dated 16.10.37.
5. " D.Dis.6401/37 dated 23.1.38.
6. Mangalore taluk file D.R.657/41 dated 4.4.37.
7. " D.R.257/46 dated 26.6.37.
8. " E.C.3/47 dated 19.3.38.
9. " Dis.779R/05 dated 30.9.05.

3.3.39.
For Collector.

HR/1.3.

3.3.39.

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.

	Date.	Initials.
When received ror fair copying ..		
When copied		
When examined	23.5.	
When signed		
When despatched.		

SUBJECT:— Encroachment - Land - (S. Kanara) - Mangalore tk Kadri B Vge - S.No. 262/1C1 - Eviction - Janardhana - Petition - orders passed -

READ AGAIN _____, dated _____ 19____.

" " _____ " _____ 19____.

READ *ALSO*:— Petn.

P1 cf B No. 3343, From the Sri. K. Janardhana, dated 16/3/1938. Q

P47 cf B " 1164 " correspondence ending with 28/2/1939. Q to

" _____ " Govt. Dis. 8494/38 " _____ " _____ 19____ Govt. only.

~~Order~~ / Resolution ~~Mst.~~ Ms. No. 1389, dated 19.5.1939.

The Govt. is requested to assign the land in question to the petitioner subject to payment of market value, ground rent or asstt. as the case may be which is liable to revision according to rules 2/ with reference to para 3 of the Govt letter cited above the Govt is requested to take steps to correct the registry of the land in question where necessary.

3/ The petr. is reported to the Govt

P49 cf

I/c

16/5

for orders on his petn
to the Board -

7/5/39 4/7/5/39

9/3/39

to

The Govt. of S. Kanara
with records rctd.
as per list p49 CF

to the Petr. (Para 3 only
Sri. K - Janardhana
Address at p 21 CF.


No. 084
For Insurance Notices see reverse.
Stamps affixed except in case of uninsured letters not exceeding 2½ tolas or one ounce in weight on which no acknowledgment is due.
Rs. As.
Date-stamp
Received a registered *
addressed to
* Write here "letter," "postcard," "packet" or "parcel"
Signature of Receiving Officer. with the word "Insured" before it when necessary.
Insured for Rs. (in figures) (in words)
Weight
Rates
Tolas
Insurance fee Rs. As. (in words)
Name and address of sender.

AS(LR)

Encroachment - Land - (S. Kanara) Mangalore Taluk - Kadri B vge. - S No. 262/1c1A - Eviction - Janardana - Petition

Date revised as observed by AS(LR)

Papers put up.

1. Huzur file D.Rs. 5575/29 ✓ pp 1-5 CF (not part up)
2. " D.Rs. 4147/36 ✗
3. " " 5062/36 ✗ not put up
4. " " 5302/37 ✓ to
5. " " 6401/37 not put up
6. Mangalore file. D.R. 657/41 ✓ pp 1-5 CF
7. " D.R. 257/46 not put up
8. " E.C. 3/47 ✓ put up
9. " ~~D.R.~~ 7791/1905 ✓
10. Extracts ✗ pp 36 marginal cost
11. Sketch ✗
12. B.P. M 718/31 ✓ (not put up)
13. 106 Pers /37 ✓ (put up below)
14. Collr's letter ✓ (put up below)

Collr's order of 23-1-38
Petn to Board 28th-38
Petn filed 94 days
Petn time bar 60 days
35 days

B. 3343/38 from the petr.

To Asst. Secy.

Please see the petition at pp 1-5 CF and the collr's orders at pp 7 & 9 CF.

2. This is a revision petition under Sec. 10 (2) of the L.R. Act It has been adequately stamp The date of the collr's order on the subject is 23-1-38. The peti to the Board was received in a complete form only on 28-4-3 It is therefore out of time by 35 days.

3. This is a case of encroachme on S No. 262/1c1A of Kadri B villag Mangalore Taluk. The petr contend (i) that he is the owner the plot, S No. 262/1c1A on warg righ (ii) that he has acquired a full title to it by uninterrupted possession for over the statutory

period,

(iii) that Mr. Wells stated in 1929 after inspection that the encroachment whatever the merits of the warg claim were, was so old that it would be unfair to insist on their removal;

& (iv) that Mr. Humayun who subsequently inspected the site was also of the opinion that the encroachment was unobjectionable ordered the lease of the plot to the petr.

The petr. therefore requests that the right of the petr. to the plot may be conceded or in the alternative to grant an assignment of the plot to whom the plot may be assigned to him.

4. The order of Mr. Wells referred to by the petr. mentions S.No. 262/3B, 3C & 4B and not S.No. 262/1C1A now in question. From the order at pg c.f. it will be seen that Mr. Humayun found the encroachment objectionable and not unobjectionable as stated by the petr. As the collr's

pp 11-15 c.f.

orders at pp 7 & 9 cf. do not contain full reasons it appeal is necessary to call for the connected records.

Draft ~~submitted subject to appeal~~ approved

14.5.38.
16.5.38

17/5/38

[The previous note read may be read]

A.S(Kly)

pp17-18cf

pp33-36 cf

Issued as Bdh's Rafce B3343-38 dt 17.5.38.

B 4625 From the Colr.

To Asst secy.

Please see the plan at pp1-5 cf the Colr's order at p-7 cf and his report at pp 33-36 cf. Attention is also invited to the sketch at p5 cf of (K).

The encroachment is in respect of the plot denoted as S. No. 262/1C1A measuring 5 cents of Kadri Bvge., Mangalore Tk. The R.D.o passed the order of eviction against which the encroacher prefers a

an appeal to the Cdr. who declined to interfere on his wife. He has now come up to the Board in person.

The pet's Contentions are

(1) that he is the owner of the entire land in S. No. 262/1C1A on warg right — Para 3 at p1 CF.

(2) that he has acquired a full title to it by uninterrupted possession for over the statutory period and — Para 4 at p3 CF

(3) that the encroachment was considered unobjectionable by Mr. Wells and Mr. Humayun previous Cdrs. — Para 5+6 at 3 CF

In the circumstances the petr. requests that the plot in question may be assigned to him if his warg. claim is not recognised as it forms an indispensable adjunct to his residential house-

While it is of no use to Govt.

Contention 1 & 2

Please see para. 5 of the Coll's report at p 35 CF. The Coll. reports that there is no documentary evidence in support of the pet's claim.

Contention 3

Please see paragraph 2 & 3 of the Coll's letter at pp 33-34 CF. Mr. Wells who inspected the encroachment in S. No. 262 considered them to be so old as not to justify any interference by eviction. Please see paragraph 2 of Coll's D.Dis 555-29 of 16.6.29. Mr. Wells viewed that S. No. 262 was in reality not a camping ground in the possession military authorities but has been classed as assessed waste at Sett. He therefore did not view the encroachment as of

P 74 CF 9 (9) (within 4 months)

an objectionable nature. Mr. Humayun who inspected the land subsequently canvassed the assignment of the plot in question objectionable on the ground that it actually formed a part of the military encamping ground. The plot in question forms part of S. No. 262/1C1. The extent of this field is 0 cents. The Coll. was willing to give the whole field to the petr. on lease for 20 years. The petr. was not agreeable to this as he claimed the land as his warg property. ~~On 3 cents~~ The petr. has put up a substantial building on an extent of 3 cents in this case and the Coll. Sanctioned its assignment to the petr. as he considered it hard to pull down the buildings standing on it but ordered eviction in respect of the remaining extent which has since been subdivided as

262/1C/1A. The present petition relates only to this extent (5 cents).

The Coll. states that the Dt. Board also objected to the assignment of the land as it was within 22 yards from the centre of the road passing through S. No. 262/1A ~~besides this objection the Coll. has assigned to the petr the 3 cents of land in which he has put up a building~~ The main objection of the Coll. to the assignment seems to be due to the fact that he considered it to be part of the military camping ground. In this Connection attention is invited to the Coll's letter at P cf of the file at (C) in which he has reported that there are no military lands in the district. This objection of the Coll. on the score that the land is part of

military camping ground has no force in it. If the Board agrees with this view, the position may be explained to the Collr. & he may be asked to report how the land can be said to be a part of military encamping ground and if the land is not part of the military encamping ground whether there is any objection to the assignment of the land to the petr. subject to payment of market value

For orders.

28/7/38 — Paid as the position is explained to the Collr. & Call for further report.

30/7/38

10-8-38

To Asst. Secy

Draft submitted.

15/8/38

17/8

8-8-38

Pp37-38 cf — Issued as Inwards Refee. B 3343-38.2 dt 18.8.38

A3(1) M.

Pp41-43 cf — B 6469 From

To Asst. Secy.

1. History:

Attention is invited to the sketch at P5 cf 9 (K). The petr. requests that S. No. 262/1C-1A of Kadiri vge. Mangalore tk. may be assigned to him. It measures 5 cents. It is registered as assessed waste dry in the account. This plot is a portion of S. No. 262/1C-1 measuring 8 cents. It contains a substantial tiled building and a backyard enclosed by an old wall. The Taluk proposed the assignment of the plot. The Coll. Mr. Humayun after personal inspection ordered that the plot viz should be leased out

P5 cf. Para 10

P33 cf Para 2.

262-1-C

262-1-C-1 (8 cents) — 262-1-C-2

262-1-C-1B 3 cents (assigned to the petr.) — 262-1-C-1-A 1 plot in question 5 cents.

PP7-87 (Y) 262-1-C-1-*

for a period of 20 years. The petr. however refused to take up the land on lease contending that it was his wang land. Mr. Humayun thinking it undesirable to pull down a substantial building sanctioned the assignment of the portion occupied by the building and decided to evict the encroacher from the remaining portion the portion occupied by the building was sub-divided as S. No. 262/1C1B measuring 3 cents and it was assigned to the petr. Eviction was ordered in respect of the plot S. No. 262-1-C-1-A. against which the petr. preferred an appeal to the coll. He dismissed his appeal. The petr. has therefore come up to the Board.

The main objection

r 1 of (T) (not putup)

PP 3-4 of of (T) dt

(Revised S. No. 262/4)

not putup

PP 1-6 of of (A).

not putup

P 13 of of (H).

of Mr Humayun to assign the plot in question to the petr. was that it formed a part of the military ~~ping~~ ground. In view of the ~~board~~ fact that there are no military lands classified as such in the S. Kamara ~~of~~ the Collr. was requested to state how the land in question could be considered to be a part of military camping ground and whether there is any other objection to the assignment of the land to the petr. on payment of market value. The Collr. (Mr. Vellor now reports after personal inspection that the plot S. No. 262/1-C-1. of which the encroachment forms a part is not registered as military camping ground and that there is no objection to the assignment of the land

PP 7-8 of (4)

PP 37-38 of

P 41 of - Para. 2

S. No. 262-1-C-1-A to the petr.
He also states that the land in question cannot be of any use to others except to the petr. P 42 cf
as it lies between the petr's land and deep drain.

~~& the circumstances there is no objection to the assignment of the S. No. 262-1-C-1-A measuring 5 cents to the petr. on collection of market value.~~

the plot in question forms part Table at P 10 N.F
of S. No. 262/1-C-1. This field is registered in the accounts as assessed P 33 cf - para 2 beginning
waste dry. The adjoining lands only are registered P 42 cf
as Camping grounds and they are not in charge of the central govt.
B. P. No. Mis. 718 of 28.2.31 P 8 cf of (W) not put up
Contains instructions to be observed in disposing

lands registered as camping grounds which are not included in the list of lands of the defence deptt. The Collr. states

P42 cf - Para 3 that the orders of the Govt. are necessary for assigning this land with reference to the instructions in the Bip. at (W) This is unnecessary. 2. The land in question

P 33 cf is registered in the account as "assessed waste dry" & not as camping ground.

P42 cf. Para 4 The Collr. has inspected the and & he cannot find any possible objection to its assignment. The Collr. may be asked to assign the land to the petr. on collection of market value & the petn may be referred to the Collr. for orders on his petn

[Notes from P. 10 N.F. may be read]

CB
22.10.38
25.10.38 26/10/38 M/

~~Issue a notice stating the lands registered as unlt. camping grounds and thus objecting them with a statement of whether such lands are~~

Under by the Colle. d 03/07/20
in his Diss 4147/36 is
not in accordance with B.S.O.
15-2 for only the Nat. can
order ~~[illegible]~~ change of classification
from unsound to sound Code
If the Colls of S. Leonards have been
in the habit of using such
orders there is no warning when
is mil. camping ground & when
is not. Ask J.S. to communi-
cate with a view to getting the A
registers of the district right.

2. To settle this question I
must have another sketch based on
the survey Plan of the said lands
registered by correct authority as mil camping
ground, i.e. either as shown on the
in the printed Sett register or as
altered since by correct authority with
an extract from the Sett register
for each. Perhaps this could be
prepared in this office

3. The sketch should show
the areas as ~~[illegible]~~ coloured pink
the Sketch sent to J.S. (K)

4. You do not say what
the DB objection is to the

PP 7-8 Geo. 5 (Y)

5 & 6 Geo. 5. c. 63
Army Act - Sec. 68

over-ruled. Cdy?

2
1/11



J.S
A&DR
Thro E
VS
11/11

p15 N.F

Para 1 of M1's remarks at P15 N.1

Kind attention of J.S. is invited to Para 1 of M1's remarks.

In this order D. Dis. No. 4147-36 & 25.8.36 the Collr. of S. Kanara (Mr. Humayun) issued instructions to the T^s & Dy. Tahs to register all military Camping grounds in their respective taluks & subtaluks as military camping ground boroughs. Even though a copy of the Collr's order has been sent to the R.D.O, the order is incorrect since only the R.D.O can order transfer & change of classification from assessed to boroughs.

PP 9-10 of (Y)

B.S.O. 15-2
P 38 of B.S.O Vol I
Bk no. 68

As regards the entries in the A registers it is submitted that in B.P. No. 10 Press & 1.10.37, instructions were issued to Collectors

that only lands accepted by the Govt. of Madras as belonging to the central Govt. (Defence Deptt.) should be registered in the village accounts as "Military land" with particulars like "Rifle range" Camping ground etc: In B.P. No. 2855 Mis dt 13.10.38 Collrs. were instructed that in the case of lands vesting in the Provincial Govt. and entered in the vge. accounts as "Military Camping ground" the entry should be altered as Camping ground (vesting in the Provincial Govt.). In another connection the Collr. of S. Kanara has reported that he has issued instructions to his Talirs. that all lands registered as Military Camping grounds should be corrected as Camping ground vesting in the Prov. Govt. These instructions will, it is submitted, set right the

(L) Since removed

B.P. at (L)

entries in the A register.

M, will be visiting Mangalore shortly. He may perhaps discuss this question with the Collr.

p15 N F

Para 2 + 3 of M,'s remarks:

The Resett. regr. of the vge. is not availal in this office. The reserve map is also not available It is therefore submitted that the sketch cannot be prepared in this office. The Collr. may be asked to submit a sketch on the lines ordered by M,.

P.

Para 2 + 3 of M,'s remarks at P15 N.F.

M15-16 N.F.

Para 4 of M,'s remarks:

In para 3 of his letter of 19.6.38 the Collr. reported that the Dt. Board objected to the assignment of S. No. 262/1C as this plot is within 22 yards from the centre of the road passing through ~~this~~ boundaries S. No. 262/1 A. overlooking

Para 3 - P34Cf

this objection the collr ordered the assignment of a portion of S. No. 262:1-C-1 (subdivided as S. No. 262-1C1B) measuring 3 cents as it contained a substantial building. Regarding the remaining extent S. No. 262-1C-1A the collr. states after personal inspection that it is bounded on the east by the petrs' private land and by a deep drain on the west. He therefore considers that the plot in question cannot be of any use whatever to the Dt. Board and recommends its assignment to the petr.

Para 3 - P 34 of

Para 4 - PP 42-43 of

5/11/38
12/11/38
16.11.38
22.XI.38
25/11.

4-1

Rao Bahadur A. V. Vallodi ...

2. The question is whether the charges in classification are being done by the correct authorities. Your

predecessor's order D.Dis 4147/36 d. 25/8/36 did not take account of the fact that Tahsildars are not empowered to change the classification of land and that when acting under a general order to change they should send the reports in the proper forms to the R.D.O. Your letter to the Board Ref B-5-2410-38 d. 1/11/38 indicates that re-classification was in progress but it does not say that the proper authorities are passing orders in individual cases. There is no reason why it should. But it leaves it in doubt as to whether your predecessor's order has been carried

27/11/38

U.O. to the Collr. of South Kanara with the following records.

(1) D.R.No.657/41.
(2) D.Dis.E.C.3/47 d.19-3-1938.
(3) D.Dis.5382/37 d.16-10-37.
(4) D.Dis.5062/36 d.29-9-36.
(5) D.R.257/46 dated 26-6-37.
(6) D.Dis.6401/37 d.23-1-38.
(7) D.Dis.555/29 d.16-6-29.
(8) D.Dis.4147/36 d. 25-8-36.
(9) Spare copy of B.P.Mis.2855/d.13-10-38.
(10) Spare copy of B.P.106/Pr.d.1-10-37.
(11) Extract of S.Kanara Collector's letter Ref.B-5-2410/38 d.1-11-38.
(12) Current File of 44 pages.
(13) Note File of 20 pages.

No, Mr. Hammerjeera's orders were not been corrected. If the R.S.O. is to be strictly followed notice of the change of classification and an order by the R.D.O. in each case is necessary; but in

in this particular case as the land is reserved as Military Camping ground there will be no objection to the boundary and perhaps Mr Humayun thought that there was no need for all the formalities.

Also the original SR register is I think not uniform in the entries where there are Camping grounds In some the land is registered as Por. and in the remarks Col 'Military Camping ground' is noted.

If Mr. H's order requires revision I shall revise it

Pursuant to Mr. Humayun's orders these lands are now noted as M.C. Grd. Por. I have not ordered any change of classification only the entries are very changed as ~~directed~~ ordered in B.P.M. 2853 Dis. dt. 13.x.38.

L (returned)

I am sure the point raised by M. did not strike Mr. Humayun when he ordered the word 'Por' to be added to M.C. Grd and it did not strike me either

27.11.38

This matter is an important

are and should be done in the correct way. It is intended that deciding whether a land noted in the village accounts as Cemetery ground belongs to the G. of I. or the L.G. is quite a lot of work as has been done so in the past. It should not be done accurately. I am not sending the R.D.O. with a record of the order proposed this answering Q 1 of the Jamabandi check memo properly and cases may accept. Please tell Chr to have it done systematically by the R.D.O. and reconciliation work from each.

28/11

SECY.
30 NOV 38

Put up.

Take action P' and E will take extract & further action or position relating to it

30/11 M 1838 F

A.S. & R.
Mrs A.S.

we take extracts of the orders as indicated & open a separate file thereof.

Extract taken & a separate file opened (E.7282/38)
3.12.38

To A.S.

with refce. to M.'s orders at P. draft Subd.

Cm
1.12.38
M
2.12.38

with ref. to M's. orders above relevant extracts have been taken & a separate file opened viz (E.7282/38).

3.12.38.

Dec 38

B 1164 From the Collr. of
S. Kanara D.Dis. 8494/38 PP47-49 CF:
D/28. 2. 39

To A.S.

Sketches at P 5 CF (K)
& at (F1)

2. The peti. requests that S. No. 262/1.C-1-A of Kaoor vge. may be assigned to him at measure 5 cents. It stands in the assessed waste dry in the accounts. This plot is a portion S. No. 262/1.C-1 measuring 8 cents and it contains a substantial tiled building & a backyard enclosed by an old wall Mr. Humayun sanctioned the assignment of the portion occupied by the building & observed undesirable to pull down a substantial building & decided to evict the encroacher from the remaining

M,
AS CR
Thro (F) (27 DCF)
by 21493 Patwa & take a ...
& take a ...
of ... the ...
Hr ...
(F)

P5-CF para

by 21493

P 33-CF Para 2

+ S. No. 262/1C 1B (3 cents)

PP 3-4 CF (T) (not portion)

portion ... Accordingly the portion occupied by the building was subdivided as S. No. 262/1-C-1-B measuring 3 cents & it was assigned to the petr. Eviction was ordered in respect the plot S. No. 262-1-C-1-A. ~~against the petr proposed to appeal to the Collr. & demanded his appeal.~~ The petr has therefore come up to the Board against the eviction ordered.

PP 1-6 C/F (A) with part map.

VA Unit 4

The main objection of Mr. Humayun to assign the port in question to the encroacher was that it formed a part of the Military Camping ground. In its Reference PP 37-38 C/F the Board invited the Collrs attention to his letter R.Dis. 5799-37 dt 2-11-37 wherein he reported that there were no Military Camping grounds in his dt. & asked the Collr. to state

PP 7-8 C/F (Y)

not part map.

how the land in question could be considered to be a part of militia camping ground and whether there was any other objection to the assignment on payment of market value. In its further Report at PP45-46 CF the Board also called for a revised sketch based on the survey plan of the lands in question registered by correct authority, ie either as shown in the printed Sett. Regr. or as altered since by correct authority with an extract from the Sett. Regr. relating to each field. PP45-46 CF

The CO's replies to the above References are at PP41-43 PP47-49 CF. From the CO's report at PP47-48 it is seen that the

para 2 of the Cowis report at PP 47-49 CF

Since the Coll. reports that the plot encroached upon is not a military camping ground Mr Humayuns objection does not hold good the Coll. (Mr. Kollo

Para 3 - P 49 CF

Para 4 - PP 42-43 CF

reported after personal inspection that there was no objection to the assignment of the land to the petr. As the plot lies between the petrs private land on the ~~west~~ east & the Drain on the west it Cannot be of any use to any other except the petr. The sketch at (F1) shows the drain which is reported to be 4 ft deep & is ——— surroundings. It appears to be of no use to the road.

The Board may

accept the Cos's — para 4 PP42-43 CF
recommendation. The Cdt.
may be asked to assign
the land to the petr.
subject to the payment
of the market value
of the petn. may be
referred to the Cos.
for orders on his petn. ✓ PP 1-5 CF

With reference
to para 3 of the
Cos's letter at P 49 CF
the Cos. may be asked
to correct the registry
of the land in question.

when necessary

17/5/39
17/5/39
17/5/39
6539

M-1
10/.

20 H.S.

Draft Submd

17/5/39
17/5/39
17/5/39

B.P.No. Mis. 1389

Dated 19-5-39

ingle Member

wo Members.

ull Board.

I-A. | A.D.S. & L.R. | Asst. Secretary, Land Rev. | Sett. | Asst. Secretary, Sep. Rev. | J.S. Secy. | M 3 | M 2 | M 1

---

(1) Open file seen by

(2) Final draft seen by

(3) New circulated to

(4) Initials

Passed for record.

Secretary
Joint Secretary

~~A.S. (C.W.)~~

A.S. (L.R.)

A.S. (Sett.)

A.S. (Excise)

A.D.S. & L.R.

I-A.

*Note.— Entries not required to be scored out.

Extract from the principal settlement Land Register

S Kanara

R.F. III-C-1.

ದಕ್ಷಿಣ ಕನ್ನಡಾ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಕಳ ತಾಲೂಕು ನಂಬ್ರ 87 ನೇ Kasba (ಪೈ) ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಸರ್ವೆ ಮತ್ತು ಸೆಟ್ಲ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿ) ನೇ ಫಸ್ಲಿ ಬಗ್ಗೆ-

Manjalmar Th.

ಸರ್ವೆ (1)	ಸಬ್ ಡಿವಿಜನ್ (2)	ಹಳೆ ಪಗ್ಗ ಮತ್ತು ಕುಡ್ತಿ ಲಿ ನಂಬ್ರ. (3)	ನಂಬ್ರ (ನ. I = 1 ನೇ ನಂಬ್ರ ಕ್ಲಾಸ್ II = 2 ನೇ ಕ್ಲಾಸ್ ನಂಬ್ರ, ನ. III = 3 ನೇ ಕ್ಲಾಸ್ ನಂಬ್ರ), ಬಾಗಾಯ್ತು (ಬಾ), ಪುಂಜ (ಪು.), ತೀರ್ಪೆ - ಹೊರಿಸಿದ ಪಟ್ಟಿ (ತೀ. ಹೊರಿಸಿದ) - ಯಾ - ಪೋರಂಬೋಕ್ಕು (ಪೊ). (4)	ಒಂದು ಬೆಳೆ (1) - ಯಾ - ಎರಡು ಬೆಳೆ (2). (5)	ಮಣ್ಣಿನ ಕ್ಲಾಸ್ ನಂಬ್ರ. (6)	ತರ. (7)	ಸೆಟ್ಲ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಜಮ್ಮಾ ಬಿಸ್ತೀರ್ಣ. (8)		ಸೆಟ್ಲ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಜಮ್ಮಾ ತೀರ್ವೆ. (9)		ಪಟ್ಟಾದಾರನ ನಂಬ್ರ - ಸ - ಹೆಸರು. (10)		ಪೂರ್ವಗೇಣಿದಾರ ವಿಸ್ತಾರಿ ಅಥವಾ ಪೂರ್ವಗೇಣಿದಾರ ಅಥವಾ ಕುಡಿಯಾನ ಹೆಸರು. (11)	ಪೂರ್ವಗೇಣಿದಾರ ವಿಸ್ತಾರಿ ಅಥವಾ ಪೂರ್ವಗೇಣಿದಾರ ಅಥವಾ ಕುಡಿಯಾನ ಹೆಸರು. (12)	
							ರೂ.	ಆ.	ಎ.	ಸೆ.	ರೂ.	ಆ.		
38	1		Sary ತಾಂಬ್ರ		7·4	4			15	48	15	8		Sub-Divided as 38 as per Adm No C779 R/ 30-9-05 ಉಪ ನಂ C 779.72 ನೇ ಅಂಶಾಂಶ 38 ನೇ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿದ ಜಾಗಾ 2.30.9 1905 38-19-1-0 1-0 38-18 6-3. 6-1 17.3 17-4 ಸೇರಿದೆ 262- 38-3-
	2		Parambake ತೋಟ						3	74				
	3		Sary ತಾಂಬ್ರ		8·5	6		8	1	43		5		Sub-Divided as 38 as per Adm No. 779 1905 R/ 30-9-05
	4		Sary ತಾಂಬ್ರ		8·5	6		8	3	75	1	14	✓	38-3 0-4-5 0-3-0 38-3 0-0-9 0-1-0 0-4-0
	5		Sary ತಾಂಬ್ರ		8·5	6		8	17	15	8	9	✓	267-
	6	91-1 ಸರ	Sary ತಾಂಬ್ರ		7·4	4				45	1		✓ 189. ಆಗಾ. ಆಯಿ	S.A. 23/39 ನೇ ಯಿಂದ ತೀರ್ವೆ ಹೊರಿಸಿದೆ. 30.6.1933 (263)
									41	00	26	9		

ಅನುಜ್ಞೆಗಳು.—ಸೆಟ್ಲ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಡಿಗ್ಲೊಜ಼ ಯಾನ ಇಂಗ್ಲೀ ಪ್ರದೇಶಭಾಷೆ ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿ ಮತ್ತು ವೃತ್ತಾಂತವು ಕಾಯಂ A ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಗೆ ಆಧಾರವಾಗಿದೆ. ಜಮಾಬಂದಿ ನಂತರವಷ್ಟೆ ಮೇಲೆ ಕೊಡೋಕಾದ ಖಚಿತವಾದ ಮತ್ತು ಕಾಯಂ ಸ್ವಭಾವದ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ತಬ್ದೀಲುಗಳನ್ನು ಸೆಂಕ್ಸನ್ ಮಾಡಿದ ಹುಕುಮಿನ ನಂಬ್ರ - ವ - ತಾರೀಕು ನಿಕಿಂ ಸರಾ ಕಲಮಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಬಾಸ್ತಿ ತಾರೀಕು ವರೇಗೆ ದಾಖಲು ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು. ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ ಮಾತುಗಳ ಬದಲಿಗೆ ಸಂಜ್ಞೆ ಪದಗಳನ್ನು ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸ ಬಹುದು. ಉದಾಹರಣೆ—ಪುಂಜಕ್ಕೆ "ಪು." ನಂದಕ್ಕೆ "ನ." ಪೋರಂಬೋಕಿಗೆ "ಪೊ." ಮತ್ತು ಬಾಗಾಯ್ತಿಗೆ "ಬಾ."

ಸರಾ ಕಲಮಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಪೋರಂಬೋಕಿನ ವಿವರಣೆಯನ್ನು ಕಾಣಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.—ದೃಷ್ಟಾಂತ, ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನ, ತೋಡು, ಹೊಲವು, ಕುಮ್ರಿ - ಯಾ - ಕಟ್ಟೋಣ ಸ್ಥಳಗಳೋ, ವಿಶೇಷ, ದರಗಲ್ಲಿ ತೀರ್ವೆ ಹೊರಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ಇತರ ತರದ ಭೂಮಿಯೋ ಎಂಬವನ್ನು ಕಾಣಿಸ ತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

Extract

Sd/- [illegible]

20-12-1938.

ದಕ್ಷಿಣ ಕನ್ನಡ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಕುಂದಾಪುರ ತಾಲೂಕು ನಂಬ್ರ 87/B ನೇ ಕಡ್ರಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಸರ್ವೆ ಮತ್ತು ಸೆಟ್ಲ್‌ಮೆಂಟ ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿ 1348 ನೇ ಫಸ್ಲಿ ಬಗ್ಗೆ.

ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬ್ರ (1)	ಸಬ್ ಡಿವಿಜನ್ (2)	ಹೊಲ ವರ್ಗ ಮತ್ತು ಕಂತ್ರಿ ನಂಬ್ರ. (3)	ನಂಜೆ (ನ. I = 1 ನೇ ಕ್ಲಾಸ್ ನಂಜೆ, ನ. II = 2 ನೇ ಕ್ಲಾಸ್ ನೇ ನಂಜೆ, ನ. III = 3 ನೇ ಕ್ಲಾಸ್ ನಂಜೆ); ಬಾಗಾಯ್ತು (ಬಾ.), ಪುಂಜ (ಪು.), ತೀರ್ವೆ, ಹೊರಿಸಿದ ದಡಿ (ತೀ. ಹೊರಿಸಿದ) - ಯಾ - ಪೊರಂಬೋಕು (ಪೊ). (4)	ಒಂದು ಬೆಳೆ (1) - ಯಾ - ಎರಡು ಬೆಳೆ (2). (5)	ಮಣ್ಣಿನ ಕ್ಲಾಸ್ ಮತ್ತು ತರ. (6)	ತರಂ. (7)	ಸ್ಕೆ. 1 ರ ದರ. (8)	ಪಿಸ್ಸೆಣ್ಣೆ. Extent (9)			ತೀರ್ವೆ. Assessment (10)		ಪಟ್ಟೊಪ್ಪಾರನ ನಂಬ್ರ - ಪ - ಹಕ್ಕರು. (11)	ಮೂಲಗೇಣಿದಾರ ನಿವ್ವಳಿ ಅಥವ ಹೆಸರು. (12)	ಷರಾ. (13)
								ರೂ.	ಆ.	ಎ.	ಸೆ.	ರೂ.	ಆ.		
262	1A		ಕೆರೆ. Pond							3	57			ಕಡ್ರಿ Kadri	
	1C/1.		ಕೆರೆ. ತಗ್ಗು				10		8		06			1 P.O ಬಂಗ್ಲೆ ತಂತಿಬೇಲಿ	✓ P.O. Bungalow
	1C/2.		ನಂ x II ಬಾ		7:2	3		5	10		03		3	10 ಕಾಲು ತೆಂಗು	
	2/B.		ನಂ x II ಬಾ		7·2	3		5	10		06		5	282 ಮನೆ ಕಂಪೌಂಡ್ ತೆಂಗು	
	2/C.		ತೆಂಗು x ಮನೆ		8·5	6			8		04		1	282	
	3/A		ಕೆರೆ. Pond, ಬಾ		8	6				3	47				
					3	Q.T.O				3	04				

ಪಾಳ್ಯ 1.19.44 ನೇ ಕಾಲ್ಯಂ ತೆಕ್ಕಾರ ಲಿಲಿದ್ದು 46
ತೆಂಗಿಂಗಳ ಮೂಲಕ ತೆಕ್ಕಾರ್ಬೈಲ್ ✓
Military Camping Ground

ಅನುಜ್ಞೆಗಳು.—ಸೆಟ್ಲ್‌ಮೆಂಟ ಡಿಗ್ಲೊಟ ಯಾನ ಇಂಗ್ಲೀ ಪ್ರದೇಶಭಾಷೆ ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿ ಮತ್ತು ವೃತ್ತಾಂತವು ಕಾಯಂ A ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಗೆ ಆಧಾರವಾಗಿದೆ. ಹಕ್ಕುಬಾಧ್ಯತೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಆಗೋಹಾದ ಖಾಲಿಸ್ಥಳಗಳಗಳು ಮುಂತಾದ ಕಾಯಂ ಸ್ವಭಾವದ ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನು ಸೆಂಕ್ಷನ್ ಮಾಡಿದ ಹುಕುಮಿನ ನಂಬ್ರ - ವ - ತಾರೀಕು ನಿಕಿಂ ಷರಾ ಕಲಮಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಬಾಸ್ಟಿ ತಾರೀಕು ವರೇಗೆ ದಾಖಲು ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು. ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ ಮಾತುಗಳ ಬದಲಿಗೆ ಸಂಜ್ಞಾಕ್ಷರಗಳನ್ನು ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸ ಬಹುದು. ಉದಾಹರಣೆ—ಪುಂಜಕ್ಕೆ "ಪು." ನಂಬ್ಕೆ "ನ." ಪೊರಂಬೋಕಿಗೆ "ಪೊ." ಮತ್ತು ಬಾಗಾಯ್ತಿಗೆ "ಬಾ."

ಷರಾ ಕಲಮಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಪೊರಂಬೋಕಿನ ವಿವರಣೆಯನ್ನು ಕಾಣಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು. ದೈವಸ್ಥಾನ, ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನ, ಚೌಡಿ, ಹೊಲಸ್ಥ, ಕುಮ್ರಿ - ಯಾ - ಕಬಿಸ್ತಾನ ಸ್ಥಳಗಳೋ ವಿಶೇಷ, ದರಗಳನ್ನು ತೀರ್ವೆ ಹೊರಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ಇತರ ತರದ ಭೂಮಿಯೋ ಎಂಬವನ್ನು ಕಾಣಿಸ ತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

R.F. III-C-1.

ದಕ್ಷಿಣ ಕನ್ನಡಾ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಕಾಸರಗೋಡು ತಾಲೂಕು ನಂಬ್ರ 87/B ನೇ ತಿಡ್ಲ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಸರ್ವೆ ಮತ್ತು ಸೆಟ್ಲ್‌ಮೆಂಟ ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿ 1348 ನೇ ಫಸ್ಲಿ ಬಗ್ಗೆ-

ಸರ್ವೆ ಡಿವಿಜನ್. (2)	ಹೊಸ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬ್ರ ಮತ್ತು ಹಿಸ್ಸಾ ನಂಬ್ರ. (3)	ನೆಂಜ (ನ. I = 1 ನೇ ಕ್ಲಾಸ್ ನೆಂಜ, II = 2 ನೇ, ಕ್ಲಾಸ್ ನೆಂಜ, ನ. III = 3 ನೇ ಕ್ಲಾಸ್ ನೆಂಜ); ಬಾಗ್ಲು ತು (ಪ್ರೊ.), ಪ್ರೊಂಜ ಪಡಿ (ಪ್ರೈವೆ. ಕೊಲಿನದ) - ಯೂ - ಪೊರಂಬೋಕು (ಪೊ). (4)	ಒಂದು ಬೆಳೆ (1) -ಯೂ- ಎರಡು ಬೆಳೆ (2). (5)	ಮಣ್ಣಿನ ಕ್ಲಾಸ್ ಮತ್ತು ತರ. (6)	ತರಂ. (7)	ವಿಸ್ತೀರ್ಣ. (8) ರೂ. ಆ. ಎ. ಸೆ.	ವಿಸ್ತೀರ್ಣ. (9) ರೂ. ಆ.	ತೀರ್ವೆ. (10)	ಮೂಲ್ಕದಾರನ ನಂಬ್ರ - ನ ಕೆಸರು. (11)	ಮೂಲಗೇಣಿದಾರ ನಿಗ್ದ ಅಪನ ಹೆಸರು. (12)	ಇತರ. (13)
3/B. 2/A				8.5	6	8. 28	2	282.		Mrs Sundari Bai ಮ್ಯಾ. ಜಮ್ಮಾ 2.14.0. 7.12.44 ನೇ ಇನ್ನೊಂತಾ ಮಿಲಿಟರಿ ಜಂ. ಕಾಯಂಗೆ ಕೊಂಡ ಕೊಂಡಿಕೆ Military Camping Ground.	

ಸೂಚನೆಗಳು.—ಸೆಟ್ಲ್‌ಮೆಂಟ ಡಿಗ್ಲೊಜ ಯಾನ ಇಂಗ್ಲೀ ಪ್ರದೇಶಭಾಷೆ ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿ ಮತ್ತು ವೈತ್ಕಾಂತವ್ರ ಕಾಯಂ A ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಗೆ ಆಧಾರವಾಗಿದೆ. ಜಮಾಬಂದಿ ನಂತರವಚ್ಚೆ ಮೇಲೆ ಕೊಡೋಣಾದೆ ಖಾಲಿಸ್ಥಳಗಳು ಮುಂತಾದ ಕಾಯಂ ಸ್ವಭಾವದ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ತಬ್ದೀ ನೆಂಕ್ಷನ್ ಮಾಡಿದ ಹುಕುಮಿನ ನಂಬ್ರ - ವ - ತಾರೀಕು ನೀಂ ಪರಾ ಕಲಮಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಬಾಸ್ತಿ ತಾರೀಕು ವರೆಗೆ ದಾಖಲು ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು. ರೆವಿನ್ಯು ಮಾತುಗಳ ಬದಲಿಗೆ ನಂಜಿಕ್ಷಾಹೆರಗಳನ್ನು ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸ ಬಹುದು. ಉದಾಹರಣೆ—ಸುಂಜಕ್ಕೆ "ಪ್ರ." ನಂಜ "" ಪೊರಂಬೋಕಿಗೆ "ಪೊ." ಮತ್ತು ಬಾಗ್ಲುತಿಗೆ "ಬಾ."

ಈ ಕಲಮಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಪೊರಂಬೋಕಿನ ವಿವರಣೆಯು ಸೂಚಿಸಲಕ್ಕೆ ಬೈ. ದೈಶ್ಚಾಂಗ, ದೀಪಸ್ತಂಭ, ಗುಡಿ, ಹೊಲತ್ರ, ಕಾಯ್ರಿ - ಯೂ - ಕಟ್ಟೋಣ ಸ್ಥಳಗಳೋ, ವಿಶೇಷ, ದರಗಳನ್ನು ತೀರ್ವೆ ಹೊರಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ಇತರ ತರದ ಭೂಮಿಯೋ ಎಂಬವನ್ನು ಕಾಣಿಸ ತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

2.12.1938